## Oklahoma State Department of Education (SDE) Child Nutrition Programs (CNP) ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (AR) SUMMARY

Name of School Food Authority (SFA	4):	County DistrictCode:					
Superintendent:							
Address of SFA:		City:		Zip Code:			
Consultant(s) Conducting Review: _							
An AR of your SFA's CNP operation	has been completed. The	SFA was foundin:	Compliance	Noncompliance			
Review Month:	Date of Review: _		Date Review C	losed:			
Number of Schoolsin SFA:	_Number of Schools Revie	wed:Nun	nber of Eating Sites R	eviewed:			
List schools reviewed for the follow	ing CNP:						
National School Lunch Program (NSI	LP):						
School Breakfast Program (SBP):							
After-School Snack Program (ASSP):							
Special Milk Program (SMP):							
Fresh Fruit and VegetableProgram (	FFVP):						
Seamless Summer Food Program (SS	SFP):						
Does the SFA operate under any spe	ecial provisions: (Select an	y that apply)					
Provision 1							
Provision 2							
Provision 3							
Community Eligibility Prov	vision (CEP)						
This SFA had violations in the follow	ing areas:						
PS-1 Violations							
PS-2 Violations							
Resource Management Vi	olations (Indicate area of	violation)					
Maintenance of t	he Nonprofit Paic	d Lunch Equity					
Revenue from No	nprogram Foods Indi	rect Costs					
General Area Violations							
If applicable, mark appropriate box	es:						
Recalculation required							

Fiscal Action Workbook completed

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
		A. Program Access and Reimbursement		
		YES	NO	
				Certification and Benefit Issuance
				Verification
				Meal Counting and Claiming
Finding(s) Details:				

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
		B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO	
				Meal Components and Quantities
				Offer versus Serve
				Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
Finding(s) Details:				, ,

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
			B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality		
		YES	NO		
		0	0	Meal Components and Quantities	
		0	0	Offer versus Serve	
		0	0	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	

Finding(s) Details:

Meal Components and Quantities—7 CFR 210.10 and 7 CFR 220.8 (continued)

Findings: Planned menu quantities could not be determined to meet meal pattern requirements for the review period. Some combination food items served did not contain a CN label or a valid Product Formulation Statement or could not be obtained. Granola bars, cinnamon roll, and nutrition bars did not meet the crediting information on recipe and/or food production records. Bread slices did not match the crediting information provided on recipes and Meal Contribution Reports. Bread, slice does not meet the 1 ounce equivalent requirement, it only contained 0.9 oz. which is 0.75 oz. eq. (EXHIBIT A: GRAIN REQUIREMENT FOR CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAM, Group B, 1 oz. eq. = 28 gm or 1.0 oz.)]. Although the sandwiches served for K-8 grade group was credited as 2 oz. eq., but only met 1.5 oz. eq. credit; only requires 1 ounce equivalent daily, it could not be determined if the weekly requirement was met. The CN Label or Product Formulation Statement is required for combination food items not listed in the Food Buying Guide to show how the products contribute toward meal pattern requirements. Technical assistance was provided for ensuring food items are creditable through CN labels, product formulation statements, calculating the whole grain-rich ounce equivalency, and keeping CN labels or valid product formulation statements to ensure compliance. This is not a repeat violation therefore there is not fiscal action.

Corrective Action Required: The SFA will provide supporting documentation for ensuring creditable food items have been used to meet the Meal Pattern Requirements. Send copies of one week breakfast and lunch Food Production Records, copies of CN labels, valid Product Formulation Statement, nutrition facts labels, recipes and any other documentation for meal contributions for food items used for the week. Supporting Documentation: Menus, production records, USDA Foods Information Sheets, Nutrition Facts Labels, How the products contribute toward meal pattern requirements and related materials (e.g., CN Labels or product formulation statements, standardized recipes) for each school week.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS		
		C. School Nutrition Environment		
		YES	NO	
				Food Safety
				Local School Wellness Policy
				Competitive Foods
				Other
Finding(s) Details:				
		D. Civ	il Right	S
Finding(s) Details:				

Comments/Recommendations:
CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUIRED TO BE COMPLETED BY(§210.68[k]):
CORRECTIVE ACTION DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED IN STATE AGENCY BY (§210.18[K][1]):
(30 days from the date the corrective action must be completed)
An exit conference was conducted (§210.18[i]) discussing the AR Reviewfindings on:
with(Name and Title of School Representative)
CNP Consultant(s):
Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require state agencies to report the final results of the AR to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with the guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) require the State Agency to post a summary of the most recent final AR results for each SFA on the State Agency's publicly available Web site no later than 30 days after the State Agency provides the final results of the AR to the SFA. The State Agency must also make a copy of the final AR report available to the public uponrequest.
Signature of School Representative Date
Date Review Summary Was PubliclyPosted: