#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

The Honorable Members of the State Board of Education

FROM:

Janet Barresi

DATE:

October 25, 2012

SUBJECT:

Awarded School Lists of OSDE and FIRST Robotics Grant Cycle

State Board approval is requested for the OSDE and *FIRST* Robotics Grant cycle of the 2012-2013 school year. The OSDE and *FIRST* Committee completed a critical review of all submitted applications. Lists of schools receiving awards as well as a list showing the grand total of all awards are attached. The awardee lists have been broken down by *FIRST* event category, awarded school district, awarded school site, and amount awarded. A copy of the application and scoring rubric is also been provided for your review.

JB:jd

Attachments

### FIRST LEGO LEAGUE AWARDEES

School District/Congressional District	<u>Site</u>	\$ Amt Awarded
Chickasha	4 Grand ES	\$500
Chickasha	4 Lincoln ES	\$500
Cottonwood	2 Cottonwood ES	\$500
Discovery School of Tulsa	1 Dove Science Academy Tulsa	\$500
Frontier	3 Frontier Middle School	\$500
Macomb	5 Macomb PS	\$500
Meeker	3 Meeker Elementary	\$500
Meeker	3 Meeker Elementary	\$250
Meeker	3 Meeker Middle School	\$250
Muskogee	2 Whittier	\$500
Putman City Independent	5 Northridge Elementary	\$500
	GRAND TOTAL	\$5,000

### FIRST TECH CHALLENGE AWARDEES

Ardmore	2 Ardmore HS	\$1,250.00
Arnett	3 Arnett HS	\$2,500.00
Discovery School of Tulsa	1 Dove Science Academy Tulsa	\$1,250.00
Frontier	3 Frontier HS	\$500.00
Haileyville	2 Haileyville	\$2,000.00
Macomb	5 Macomb PS	\$2,500.00
Meeker	3 Meeker HS	\$2,500.00
Newcastle	4 Newcastle HS	\$2,440.00
Okarche	3 Okarche HS	\$2,500.00
Burns Flat Regional Site	3 Burns Flat	\$2,009.00
Oklahoma City	5 John Marshall Mid-High School	\$2,500.00
Owasso	1 Owasso Senior HS	\$2,500.00
Owasso	1 Owasso Mid-High School	\$2,500.00
Tulsa	1 Booker T Washington HS	\$2,500.00

**GRAND TOTAL** \$29,449

# FIRST ROBOTIC CHALLENGE AWARDEES

School District	<u>Site</u>	<u>\$Amt Awarded</u>
Adair	2 Adair HS	\$5,000.00
Chickasha	4 Chickasha HS	\$5,000.00
Deer Creek	5 Deer Creek HS	\$1,000.00
Edmond	5 Edmond Memorial	\$1,000.00
Fort Gibson	2 Fort Gibson HS	\$5,000.00
Grove	2 Grove HS	\$1,000.00
Jenks	1 Jenks HS	\$1,000.00
Lawton	4 MacArthur HS	\$1,000.00
Mustang	5 Mustang HS	\$1,000.00

Oologah-Talala	2 Oologah Middle School & HS	\$5,000.00
Pryor	2 Pryor HS	\$5,000.00
Sperry	1 Sperry HS	\$500.00
Union	1 Union HS	\$1,000.00
	GRAND TOTAL	\$32,500
	TOTAL \$ AMOUNT AWARDEL	\$67,449

# Assurance/Signature Page 2012-2013

School district:
School:
Address:
City:
Phone:
Email:
Superintendent:
Principal/Project Manager
Fiscal Manager:
Team Coach/Instructor:
Assurances:
1. Grantee shall use funds to support activities related to support of FIRST teams including participation in an Oklahoma regional competition.
2. Grantee agrees to carry out the project as described in the application and program description.
3. Grantee agrees to file a final report to include all eligible reimbursement activities no later than May 20, 2013.
Superintendent Name (print): Date:
Superintendent Signature:
Principal/Project Manager Name (print): Date:
Principal/Project Manager Signature:

#### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

Mail completed application to: Oklahoma State Department of Education Office of Instruction Attn: Jeff Downs, Executive Director of STEM 2500 North Lincoln Boulevard, Suite 315 Oklahoma City OK 73105 Please check the appropriate FIRST programs for which you are applying: \_\_\_\_\_ FLL Team \_\_\_\_\_ FTC \_\_\_\_\_ FRC Team Number of anticipated student participants: Has this program previously participated in FIRST programs? (Yes or No): If yes, please identify the program and indicate the number of years of participation \_\_\_\_ FIRST Lego League (FLL) Number of years: \_\_\_\_\_ FIRST Tech Challenge (FTC) Number of Years: FIRST Robotics Competition (FRC) Number of Years: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

### 1. Program Goals and Objectives:

- o Describe how participation in *FIRST* programs will contribute to helping students meet Oklahoma C3 standards, Common Core State Standards, and *PASS* Standards for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics.
  - o http://ok.gov/sde/oklahoma-c3-priority-academic-student-skills

### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

### 2. Student Benefits:

- o FIRST programs are a tool to get excited about STEM education concepts.
  - o Describe how teams of students of students will be selected and the expected benefits to student participants.
  - o How will the expected benefits be measured and documented?

### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

### 3. Program Timeline:

o Program timeline should include project milestones from implementation to competition participation. Timelines must include the activities described in the resources section of this application.

### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

#### 4. Volunteer Mentors:

- Engaging mentors from industry to support your *FIRST* team is a key component of the impact your students will experience from the program.
  - o Describe your plan for inviting and engaging industry/business partners that will be participating in the project, i.e., design, fabrication, mechanical, and electrical concepts, computer programming, control systems, marketing, fundraising, etc.
  - o List names and affiliations for existing and future partners.
  - o Will you use parents on your team? If so, what is their industry affiliation?

### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

### 5. Facilities:

o Identify and describe the facilities for use in designing and building the robot and indicate if the space is on the school grounds or in the community, i.e., school machine shop, classroom, local industry shop, etc.

### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

### 6. Evaluation:

O Describe the method of evaluation you will use to determine the success of the project. Please include all evaluating tools used.

### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

### 7. Student Teams:

o Describe how teams of students will be selected for this project. Please be very detailed in your response.

### 2012-2013 Oklahoma Robotics Grant Application

### 8. Additional Financial Support:

o Describe if monies apart from this grant will be utilized for this project. Be specific with your response.

#### 2012-2013 FIRST Robotics Team Budget Summary

Participation in any of the competitions requires a wide variety of financial resources. Please consider the following when completing the budget narrative:

- o FLL (FIRST Lego League)
- o Registration Fee: \$225
- o Kit of Parts as needed: \$420
- o Event Registration Fees per Event: \$80
- Additional Robot Materials \$200 + or -
- o Tools and Supplies: \$200 + or -
- o Competition Travel Expenses: Varies with location
- FTC (FIRST Tech Challenge):
- o Registration Fee: \$275
- o Kit of Parts as Needed: \$850
- o Event Registration Fees per Event: \$100
- o Additional Robot Materials: \$275
- o Competition Travel Expenses: Varies with location
- FRC (FIRST Robotics Competition);
- o Registration Fee: \$5000-\$6500
- o Additional Robot Materials \$2000 + or -
- o Tools and Supplies: \$1500 + or -
- o Competition Travel Expenses: Varies with location

### 2012-2013 ROBOTICS GRANT PROGRAM BUDGET SUMMARY

County Code:	
County Name:	
District Code;	
Description-List planned expenditure of grant funds	Approximate Cost:
Total (not to exceed grant amount)	
Application form was received on or before due date with complete signatures, forms, and copies.	YES – NO
Date Received:	

A. Describes the c national scienc	overall goals/objective e standards	es of the program and aligr	nment to Oklahoma PASS star
0 pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal Evidence	3 = Sufficient Evidence	4 = Outstanding Evidence
omments:			
core:			
Score:			
Student Benefits	xpected benefits to st	udent participants and hov	v those benefits will be measu
A. Describes the explored documented pts = Little or no	xpected benefits to st $2 = Minimal$ Evidence	udent participants and hov $3 = \text{Sufficient} \\ \text{Evidence}$	w those benefits will be measu $4 = \text{Outstanding}$ Evidence
A. Describes the extended documented pts = Little or no vidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
A. Describes the extended documented pts = Little or no vidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
A. Describes the extended documented to pts = Little or no vidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
A. Describes the extended documented pts = Little or no vidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
Student Benefits  A. Describes the ex	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding

C.	Program	Timeline
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	- **** O 1 1 1 1 V

A. Provides a timeline describing implementation of project and participation in robot competitions

0 pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal Evidence	3 = Sufficient Evidence	4 = Outstanding Evidence	
Comments:				
Score:				
(i.e. fabrication, mecha control, etc.)	inical and electrical coi	participating in the project ncepts, computer program	ming and	
A. Describes the re (i.e. fabrication, mecha	ole of the volunteers p inical and electrical cor 2 = Minimal Evidence	participating in the project neepts, computer programs  3 = Sufficient Evidence	ming and  4 = Outstanding Evidence	
A. Describes the re (i.e. fabrication, mechan control, etc.) 0 pts = Little or no	nical and electrical cor	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describes the re (i.e. fabrication, mechal control, etc.) 0 pts = Little or no evidence	nical and electrical cor	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describes the re (i.e. fabrication, mechal control, etc.) 0 pts = Little or no evidence	nical and electrical cor	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	

OSDE/FIRST Robotics Rubric

A. Describes the f			
(i.e. woodworking, we			
0 pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal Evidence	3 = Sufficient Evidence	4 = Outstanding Evidence
Comments:			
Score: valuation A. Describes the n	nethod of evaluation u	sed to determine the succ	ess of the project
			C33 OF THE DIVIECT.
) pts = Little or no	2 = Minimal Evidence	3 = Sufficient Evidence	4 = Outstanding Evidence
) pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
pts = Little or no vidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
) pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
) pts = Little or no evidence Comments:	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding
) pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding

6.

Vr. Describe flow i	L			
0 pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal Evidence	be selected for this project  3 = Sufficient Evidence	t. 4 = Outstanding Evidence	
Comments:				<u></u>
Score:				
8. Additional financia	al support			
A. Describe if moni	ies apart from this gran	nt will be utilized for this n	roject and for what numbers	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	roject and for what purposes.  4 = Outstanding	
<ol> <li>A. Describe if moni</li> </ol>	ies apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient Evidence	roject and for what purposes.  4 = Outstanding Evidence	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	
A. Describe if moni  0 pts = Little or no evidence	les apart from this grar	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	

OSDE/FIRST Robotics Rubric

Page 4

0 pts = Little or no evidence	2 = Minimal Evidence	3 = Sufficient Evidence	oject and for what purposes.  4 = Outstanding Evidence	
Comments:				
Score:				
A. Application For	m was received on or l	before due date with comp	plete signatures, forms, and o	col
A. Application For pts = Little or no	m was received on or l 2 = Minimal Evidence	before due date with comp 3 = Sufficient Evidence	olete signatures, forms, and o 4 = Outstanding Evidence	co
A. Application For open partial partia	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	co
A. Application For pts = Little or no vidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	CO
A. Application For pts = Little or no vidence	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	CO
A. Application For open partial partia	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	co
A. Application For open partial partia	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	CO
10. Application  A. Application For 0 pts = Little or no evidence  Comments:	2 = Minimal	3 = Sufficient	4 = Outstanding	<u> </u>

OSDE/FIRST Robotics Rubric

Please choose one of these and explain your position.	
Why would you consider this applicant a receipent of the grant?	
Why would you NOT choose this applicant as a receipent of the grant?	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Final Score:	
1.	
1. 2. 3.	
3.	
4. 5.	
6.	
7.	
7. 8.	
9.	
10.	
Total Score:	