The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) has identified 20 indicators to guide State Education Agencies (SEAs) in their implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Act (IDEA) Part B. These indicators are also used by the SEA to report progress and performance to OSEP. This, in turn, allows OSEP to report concrete data back to Congress and to monitor and supervise State implementation in specific areas.

Indicator 3 is focused on including all students in state/district wide assessments. The indicator reads as follows:

Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:

- A. Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup.
- B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.
- C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards. (20 U.S.C. 1416 (a)(3)(A))

Driving Question: Are all students included in state/district assessments?

Instructions

Prior to beginning the self-assessment, the Local Education Agency (LEA) may need to gather and review educational records, processes, information and documents related to **participation** and **performance on statewide assessments** in the LEA. This information may include:

- 1) Student Educational Records
- 2) District Level Testing Data
- 3) Components of Student IEPs
- 4) Teacher Lesson Plans
- 5) District-Level Assessment Data
- 6) Formative Testing Data
- 7) Policies and Procedures for Disseminating Assessment Information to Parents

Each Component and best practice description is followed by a list of measures and examples of those measures. An LEA's stage of practice should be informed by these measures.

Stages of Practice

The self-assessment is intended to identify an LEA's stage of practice in the area of state/district wide assessment and provide guidance for LEAs in improving their practice. The Oklahoma State Department of Education – Special Education Services (OSDE - SES) envisions stages of practice along this continuum:

- 1) None
- 2) Exploring
- 3) Partial
- 4) Adequate
- 5) Best

An LEA may assess its practice as "Best Practice" if the LEA has measurable/observable evidence of the practice and documentation of consistent use.

Components

For the area of participation and performance on statewide assessments, the Oklahoma State Department of Education, Special Education Services division (OSDE-SES) has identified 8 components. These components provide a comprehensive description of participation and performance on statewide assessments in the LEA.

- 1) Assessment of Students with IEPs
- 2) Assessment Decisions for Students with IEPs
- 3) Assessment Accommodations
- 4) Formative Assessments
- 5) Curricular Alignment
- 6) Assistive Technology
- 7) Parents Understand the Requirements and Purposes of Student Assessment
- 8) Parents Understand the Types of Assessments and How they Align to the Standards

Indicator 3: Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessments Self-Assessment Instructions



Self-Assessment Team

A team consists of more than one person. The self-assessment should be completed by a team of at least 3-5 individuals. The Self-Assessment Team may include:

- 1) Director of Special Education Services
- 2) Special Education Teachers
- 3) General Education Teachers
- 4) Principals/Assistant Principals
- 5) Counselors
- 6) Related Services Personnel
- 7) Parents

List the team here:

Name	Role	Signature

Components

1) Assessment of Students with IEPs

Best Practice: All students with disabilities are assessed on state and district wide assessments according to grade level content standards or alternate achievement standards aligned to grade-level content standards, *ensuring that assessment decisions are made on an individual basis*.

Measures:

Students with disabilities participate in district wide assessments.
— Students with disabilities participate in state wide assessments.
 All students with significant cognitive disabilities are assessed through state and districtwide assessments. Ex. Student enrollment is compared to testing rosters.
State and districtwide assessments align to grade level content standards or grade level

- alternate standards.
 Ex. Grade level on student enrollment forms align with grade level tests administered.
- Alternate assessment decisions are based on the criteria from the *Criteria Checklist for Assessing Students with Disabilities on Alternate Assessments*.
 - Ex. Criteria Checklist for Assessing Students with Disabilities on Alternate Assessments has been completed for all students being assessed with the alternate assessment.

2) Assessment Decisions for Students with IEPs

Best Practice: Assessment decisions are made on an annual basis. *The Criteria Checklist for Assessing Students with Disabilities on an Alternate Assessment* is used when determining if students should be assessed according to alternate achievement standards. *Assessment decisions reflect considerations of changes that could be made to LRE, accommodations, and other services that would enable students to participate in the regular assessment format.*

Measures:

Alternate assessment decisions are made on an annual basis.

 Ex. Assessment page of the IEP is completed annually.

 Alternate assessment decisions are based on the criteria from the Criteria Checklist for Assessing Students with Disabilities on Alternate Assessments.

 Ex. Criteria Checklist for Assessing Students with Disabilities on Alternate Assessments has been completed for all students being assessed with the alternate assessment.

 IEP teams make all alternate assessment decisions.

 Ex. All members are present at the IEP meeting and documented on the signature page.

 IEP teams consider state approved assessment accommodations.

o Ex. The accommodations section of the IEP is completed.

3) Assessment Accommodations

Best Practice: The same accommodations are provided to students across all instructional and assessment settings. The IEP team determines appropriate accommodations based on individual need. Accommodations are *continuously monitored*, *reviewed*, *and removed when no longer appropriate*.

Measures:

- Assessment accommodations decisions are deemed appropriate by the team and based on individual student needs.
 - o Ex. The accommodations section of the IEP is completed, IEP team members are present at the meeting and documented on the IEP signature page.
 - o Ex. The IEP team utilizes the Oklahoma Accommodations Manual.
- Assessment accommodation determinations are reviewed annually.
 - o Ex. Assessment page of the IEP is completed annually.
- Assessment/instruction accommodations are reviewed at many points in the curriculum and removed when appropriate.
 - o Ex. Documentation of progress data directly related to the increase/decrease of instructional accommodations.
- Assessment accommodations are also used in instructional settings.
 - o Ex. Accommodations are documented for use in instruction as well as assessment in the IEP

4) Formative Assessments

Best Practice: All teachers use formative assessments to identify areas of deficit and to prescribe interventions and instructional strategies *on a continuous basis*.

Measures:	
Teachers utilize formativ	ve assessments to identify weak areas.
Some	teachersAll teachers
All teachers utilize forma	ative assessments to identify weak areas.
A leas	st quarterlyContinuously
o Ex. Formative ass	sessment data.
	essments drive prescribed strategies and interventions. sessment data is compared to lesson/intervention plans.
· ·	I interventions are reviewed and reflect most recent data sessment data is compared to lesson/intervention plans.

5) Curricular Alignment

Best Practice: Curriculum aligns to grade-level content standards and is assessed in a variety of formats to ensure student access. *Professional learning communities or other forms of LEA sponsored formal professional development opportunities are used to increase collaboration between general and special education teachers.*

Measures:

 Curriculum aligns to grade-level content standards. Ex. Lesson plans are compared to grade-level content standards.
 Curriculum imbedded instruction is presented in multiple formats. Ex. Comparison of lesson/intervention plans to formative assessment data.
— Collaboration occurs between general educators and special educators.
informal (talking in hall)formal (LEA sponsored PD events)
o Ex. Sign-in sheets from PLCs or other professional development opportunities.
 The LEA supports and facilitates formal avenues of collaboration between all educators. Ex. Advertisement/ correspondence of district offered PLCs or other professional development are attributed.
development opportunities.

6) Assistive Technology

Best Practice: Assistive Technology needs are reviewed on an annual basis *and at various points in the curriculum* to increase student independence on assessments. Assistive Technology needs are met through various resources. LEAs have written policies in place to ensure assistive technology needs are **being** met *and to collect data regarding the effectiveness of assistive technology*.

Measures:

— The IEP team reviews assistive technology needs to increase access to assessment and instruction.
Some StudentsAll Students
 Ex. Annual IEP Ex. The IEP team utilizes an assistive technology checklist such as the SETT Scaffold for Consideration of AT Needs.
— Assistive technology is reviewed to ensure it is meeting students' needs. (For students who utilize assistive technology).
AnnualContinuously (at various points in the curriculum)
o Ex. Teacher/related service personnel document student success with assistive technology.
— The LEA has established roles for staff members and procedures in ensuring Assistive Technology needs are being met.
Policies address data collection Policies do not address data collection
o Ex. LEA has written policies regarding assistive technology referrals and reviews.
Comments/Documentation:

7) Parents Understand the Requirements and Purposes of Student Assessment

Best Practice: Information is provided to parents regarding the requirements and purpose of assessing all students on an annual basis. *Information is provided when weaknesses are identified through formative assessments, enabling parents to take a more active role in the remediation of those weaknesses.*

Measures:

Information is provided to parents regarding assessment requirements.
Information is provided to parents regarding the purpose of assessing all students.
 Information is provided to parents regarding the requirements and purposes of assessing all students at every annual IEP meeting? Ex. District created pamphlet or other form of informational literature.
Are parents provided with formative assessment results?
o Ex. Formative assessment report

8) Parents Understand the Types of Assessments and How they Align to the Standards

Best Practice: Information regarding types of assessment and how they align to standards is provided to parents on an annual basis. *Information is provided regarding the differences in the curriculum associated with regular assessments versus alternate assessments.*

Measures:

— Are parents provided information regarding different types of assessments?
Some parentsAll parents
— Are parents of students who are assessed with alternate assessments informed of the difference in achievement standards between regular assessments and alternate assessments on an annual basis?
Some parentsAll parents
— Are parents of students assessed with alternate assessments provided information describing the lower expectation of instructional curriculum linked to alternate assessments on an annual basis ?
Some parentsAll parents

- o Ex. District created pamphlet or other form of informational literature.
- Ex. Informational handouts containing website and contact information for the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) and Oklahoma State Department of Education-Office of Accountability and Assessment websites.