#### **ERASURE ANALYSIS SUMMARY**

Attached copy of OAC 210:10:13-18 and OAC 210:10:13-21

The Oklahoma State Department of Education (OSDE), Accountability and Assessment, conducts an annual data quality review to ensure the state and districts are receiving the highest quality data, and to better advise and partner with districts regarding their implementation of standardized procedures and security measures established for the Oklahoma School Testing Program (OSTP). This process fulfills requirements of the United States Department of Education (USDE) federal compliance guidelines. Data quality review at the state level includes, but is not limited to: reviewing the number of violations and irregularities reported, performing an erasure analysis, and verifying participation numbers for all students who are enrolled in the assessed grades or course tested. Information contained in this report summarizes the erasure analysis results for Spring 2011 – 12 testing for the federally mandated subjects of math, reading and English/Language Arts, and science.

#### **Process to Identify and Notify:**

Erasures were identified statewide by electronic scanning of all student answer documents. Scores for classes whose **wrong-to right** erasures exceeded the state average by more than four (4) standard deviations were identified for further investigation. School districts/sites were notified by the Oklahoma State Department of Education (OSDE) regarding each class flagged by the excessive erasures scan. Districts/sites were asked to investigate the specific testing session flagged in the analysis and provide a written explanation of the situation that occurred. The investigational review included:

- An examination of the testing log kept by the proctor noting unusual student behaviors, frequent requests by students to leave the room, or other circumstances associated with this session.
- An interview with the test administrator, test proctor, or others present during the testing session regarding compliance to testing security regulations and procedures,
- A review of the testing room, student placement, seating arrangements, and position of proctors during testing, and,
- As applicable, a review of testing accommodations provided to the student(s) during the session.

Upon receiving the district/site explanation, the Office of Accountability and Assessments reviewed the information and determined if there was a valid reason that would explain the occurrence, or if this occurrence has violated the Oklahoma Administrative Code (OAC). The district was notified of the state's decision regarding the erasure analysis by mail.

If a district received either a major or critical violation which resulted in test score invalidations, the Office of Accountability and Assessment worked with the district throughout the process until the district reached a resolution.

*Valid erasures*: Erasure changes from wrong to right which is determined to be a result of student's action, such as; getting off line transcribing answers from the booklet to the answer document, or marking answers in the wrong section of the answer document. Occasionally a student's learning disability will be reflected in the analysis. The valid determination requires no further action on part of the school or State Department of Education.

Minor violations: Erasures changes from wrong to right which are influenced by implementing a testing strategy (e.g., a student crossing out incorrect answers within the answer grid area), a Test Administrator giving additional directions which were not part of the standardized script, or students using an accommodation for the first time during testing. (e.g., a calculator, a translator) Letter with recommendations to improve test taking practice and avoid triggering the erasure flag is sent to the district/site and the site is monitored for similar occurrences during future test administrations.

Major Violation: Erasure changes from wrong to right which are a result of improper test administration or a security breach. These violations include, but are not limit to: the student is not provided with the proper accommodations, combining OCCT and OMAAP test takers within the same room, conducting a read-aloud within the same room as students who do not have the read-aloud accommodation, and/or students are provided an accommodation for which they are not eligible.

*Critical Violation*: Erasure changes from wrong to right which are a result of improper test administration or a security breach. These violations include, but are not limited to: improper actions by the Test Administrator [e.g., changing student answers or prompting students to change answers, copies (by hand, photo, or zerox) part of the test, views, removes or uses secure documents (e.g., test booklet, online test ticket, student used scrap paper)] for their own purposes or to improve the student's performance.

Depending upon the severity of the major or critical violation, possible consequences may include invalidation of test scores, requesting accreditation with a deficiency, accreditation with a warning, and/or accreditation with probation. A district or site which self-reports these violations can, at the discretion and approval of the OSDE, implement their own penalty and establish procedures to avoid the violation in the future.

### **Erasure Analysis Desegregated Data Results**

#### State Average of Wrong-to-Right by Program, Grade Level or Subject.

	OCCT		OMAAP		OCCT ACE	OMAAP EOI		
	Grades 3 - 8			Grades 3 - 8		EOI*		
	Reading	Math	Science	Reading	Math	Science		
Grade 3	.163	.168		.074	.130			
Grade 4	.287	.265		.099	.146			
Grade 5	.19	.159	.268	.065	.153	.117		
Grade 6	.214	.197		.076	.098			
Grade 7	.24	.189		.080	.111			
Grade 8	.036	.028	.196	.090	.095	.087		
Algebra I							-	-
English II							-	-
Biology I							-	-

<sup>\*</sup> EOI assessments are required to be given online with the exception of paper/pencil format as an IEP accommodation. Paper/pencil results are shown.

#### # of District/sites flagged by testing program:

	OCCT Grades 3 - 8	OMAAP Grades 3 - 8
# of District sites	30	10
# of School sites	41	11

## # of District/Sites by Violation Category:

	Valid	Minor	Major	Critical
# of District sites **	19	5	1	1
# of School sites	25	5	1	1

<sup>\*\*</sup>Districts reported only once by highest violation category.

#### Grades 3 – 8: # of Classes by Grade, Program and Subject

	ОССТ			ОМААР		
	Reading	Math	Science	Reading	Math	Science
Grade 3	5	2		0	4	
Grade 4	5	10		3	0	
Grade 5	1	8	2	0	3	0
Grade 6	0	4		0	0	
Grade 7	4	1		0	0	
Grade 8	2	0	1	1	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> Grade 8 Math and Reading assessments are required to be given online with the exception of paper/pencil format as an IEP accommodation. Only Paper/pencil results are shown.

<sup>-</sup> Subject/ Grade were not flagged during the analyses as the total n count or total Wrong-to-Right changes were below the established parameter.

## End-of-Instruction: # of Classes by Program and Subject:

EOI assessments are required to be given online with the exception of paper/pencil format as an IEP accommodation EOI Subject/ Grade were not flagged during the analyses as the total n count or total Wrong-to-Right changes were below the established parameter.

# **Detail Information for Major/Critical Violations by District:**

## **Erasure Analysis**

## **Bing Public Schools/ Major**

Site/Congressional	Homer Elementary School, Congressional District 2
District	OCCT / OMAAP, Reading and Math, Grade 3 and 4
Program/Subject/Grade	
Level	
# of students (W2R	5 (5 per student)
average)	
Violation	An irregularity/misconduct regarding implementing testing procedures and security occurred. Multiple grade levels (3 and 4), subjects (math and reading, and programs (OMAAP and OCCT assessments) were administered within in the same room, with only one Test Administrator and one Test Proctor.  Additionally a read-aloud was conducted within the same room as students who were not to receive the read aloud accommodation.  Frequent breaks were given to students who were not on an IEP.
Follow-up Action by	The district conducted an investigation and submitted documentation
District	to the SDE verifying the training and overall implementation of testing procedures and policies.
Follow-up Action by SDE	The district was notified by phone and in writing that five students would have their reading and math assessments invalidated.  Recommendations for improving the test administration and student testing environment were provided. The State will continue to monitor the district data to ensure additional infractions do not occur. If no additional testing irregularities transpire, the district will be returned into the normal five-year monitoring cycle.

## **Self-Reported Testing Violations**

## **Tipton Public Schools/Major-Critical**

Site/ Congressional	Tipton Elementary School, Congressional District # 4
District/	OCCT Math, Grade 3
Program/Grade Level/	
Subject	
Violation	The class teacher was the Test Administrator for the Gr 3 Math students. The teacher told students if the answered items were incorrect and allowed students to change answers. Testing irregularities became apparent when a student from the testing session reported the irregularity to parents.

Follow-up Action by District	Superintendent reported the violation to the SDE. The district conducted an internal investigation that resulted in the teacher
Follow-up Action by SDE	resigning from the district.  Invalidation of 21 grade 3 math tests and Equivalent tests were administered to these students.

## Moyers Public Schools/Major- Critical

Site/ Congressional	Moyers Elementary School, Congressional District # 2, OCCT Math ,
District/	Grades 5 and 6
Program/Subject/Grade	
Level	
Violation	The Test Administrator, who was also the classroom teacher, took up
	test booklets and went through them marking items that were
	incorrect. The teacher allowed students to correct the wrong answers.
Follow-up Action by	The district conducted an internal investigation. Students and
District	monitors acknowledged help had been given to the students. The
	veteran teacher was placed on a Plan for Improvement by the district
	and has been removed from any further testing administrations.
Follow-up Action by SDE	Invalidated 6th and 5th grade math assessments. Equivalent tests
	were given to the students.

## Ft. Townsend Public Schools/Major-Critical

Site/ Congressional	Ft. Townsend High School, Congressional District # 2,
District # /	OCCT EOI English II
Program/Grade Level/	
Subject	
Violation	The Test Administrator, who was also the classroom teacher,
	assistance and answers to students on the English II EOI test and
	violated testing procedures by talking to students during the test
	administration. A student reported this behavior to the district.
Follow-up Action by	The district conducted an internal investigation. The district
District	reprimanded the teacher and her actions were recorded in the
	teacher's personnel file. The teacher will not be allowed to
	administer any state testing for the district.
Follow-up Action by SDE	The SDE invalidated 21 English tests and Equivalent tests were
	administered to the students.

## **Ardmore Public Schools/ Major**

Site/Congressional	Ardmore Middle School , Congressional District # 4, OCCT, Grades 7
District/Program/Grade	Math
Level/ Subject	
Violation	The teacher looked through a student's test book after the student
	finished testing and then commented to the student the student
	only answered approximately 50% of the questions correctly.
	Students in the testing session reported this testing irregularity to
	the district.
Follow-up Action by	The district conducted an internal investigation. The teacher did not
District	help any student with items, but violated testing procedures by
	reading through a test book. The district gave the teacher a letter of
	admonishment and will not allow the teacher to participate in any
	future testing situations in the district.
Follow-up Action by SDE	No tests were invalidated, but asked to have the teacher sign a
	Nondisclosure Agreement to uphold the integrity of the items by not
	divulging their content to anyone. The teacher signed the
	agreement form.

## **Moore Public Schools/ Major-Critical**

Site/Congressional District/Program/Grade Level/ Subject	Highland East Junior High/Congressional District 4, OAAP, Grade 8
Violation	The teacher, who was the Test Administrator and the paraprofessional, provided the student with answers while videotaping the Grade 8 math student's portfolio entry.  Confirmation of the accusation was given by a student tested by the administrators, an OU masters candidate in special education who was observing, and a classroom aid.
Follow-up Action by District	The district conducted an internal investigation into the incident after it was reported to the building principal. The district presented a resignation agreement to the Board of Education. The resignation agreement was accepted for the teacher and the paraprofessional.
Follow-up Action by SDE	The state invalidated the student's portfolio video entry.