School

Co-Teacher(s)

Date Click here to enter a date.

PURPOSE: This tool is designed to assist co-teaching teams by facilitating reflection upon their co-teaching implementation in order to enhance or refine existing practices.

Directions-Part 1

In each of the category areas, each teacher should <u>individually</u> check the rating of **initiating**, **developing** or **sustaining** that best describes their **co-teaching team's** current status of collaborative implementation of co-teaching approaches. The categories included are planning, instructional environment, physical environment, discipline and school environment.

<u>Initiating</u>: Two teachers have been assigned to the same classroom and have begun to develop a co-teaching partnership and establish responsibilities to be shared.

<u>Developing</u>: Co-Teachers are sharing many responsibilities, modifying their thinking and implementing evidence-based co-teaching practices in an observable way.

<u>Sustaining</u>: Co-Teachers consistently share responsibilities and collaboratively implement evidence-based co-teaching practices through seamless planning, delivery and assessment of instruction.

¹ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| | <u>Initiating</u> | <u>Developing</u> | <u>Sustaining</u> |
|---|---|---|---|
| Planning for Instruction and Assessment | One teacher addresses the planning and adaptations necessary for students' specific needs including Universal Design for Learning | One teacher plans for instruction, assessment, behavior and integration of technology | Both teachers equally share in the lesson development appropriate to the approach of co-teaching utilized |
| | One teacher plans content delivery | One teacher adapts plans for students with disabilities instruction, assessment and behavior using Universal Design for Learning | Identification/alignment to and use of State Curriculum (SC) and/or Core Learning Goals (CLG) |
| | Each teacher understands the outcome of the lesson and separately monitors student progress | Teachers plan to jointly deliver the lesson | Incorporation of modifications, accommodations, Universal Design for Learning and differentiation to address diverse learning needs |
| PI , for Instructio | Special education teacher plans for IEP implementation | One teacher monitors student progress and adjusts instruction accordingly | Planning for implementation of instruction and assessment aligned to the SC and individualized goals to be addressed for all students |
| | | | Selection of appropriate instructional and assessment delivery, based on students' needs and content, including the integration of technology |
| | | | Incorporation of classroom management procedures Both teachers monitor student progress and adjust instruction accordingly |
| | Teachers maintain separate gradebooks | Teachers begin to develop a consistent grading policy | Both teachers agree upon a consistent policy for grading and homework |

² This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| | Initiating | <u>Developing</u> | <u>Sustaining</u> |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Students are learning and engaged by only one teacher | Students learn and engage more with one teacher than another | All students learn and engage with both teachers |
| ronment | Special educator only provides assistance to the students identified with disabilities and collects and analyzes data in isolation | One teacher leads instruction while the other teacher only interjects, circulates and provides student support as needed | Teachers share equal status in instruction, assessment and classroom management, including data collection and analysis |
| | One teacher's name is included on all written materials | One teacher primarily communicates with students, parents, other teachers and administrators, regarding instruction, assessment and classroom management | Teachers share responsibilities such as: communicating with students, parents, other teachers, and administrators, regarding instruction, assessment and classroom management |
| Instructional Environment | | One teacher's name is noted on the majority of information with occasional inclusion of the other teacher's name when it relates to specific students | Both teachers' names are listed on items such as the door, rosters, report cards, and written communication to parents |
| Inst | Special educator develops assessments for students identified with disabilities and provides grades to the general educator. | One teacher develops assessments and collects and analyzes data | Teachers share responsibility for integrating technology into instructional delivery and assessment |
| | | One teacher implements agreed upon policy for grading and homework | Both teachers utilize a consistent policy for grading and homework |
| | | | |

³ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| | <u>Initiating</u> | <u>Developing</u> | <u>Sustaining</u> |
|----------------------|--|---|--|
| | Resources and materials are viewed as property of one teacher or the other | Access to all materials in the work environment may be limited | Both teachers have shared access to all resources and materials |
| | One teacher has no assigned area in the learning environment | Work space is shared but is somewhat limited | Both teachers have appropriate and reasonable work space in the learning environment |
| Physical Environment | No flexibility in use of space for co-teaching approaches and the encouragement of student movement for interactions | Space restricts selection of co-teaching approaches and appropriate student movement for interactions | • Space allows for grouping and student movement for interactions in the implementation of the various coteaching approaches The learning environment • Space allows for grouping and student movement for interactions in the implementation of the various coteaching approaches |
| | | | |

⁴ This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| | Initiating | <u>Developing</u> | <u>Sustaining</u> |
|------------|---|--|--|
| | One teacher is responsible for establishing and communicating expectations | Some shared responsibility for establishing and communicating expectations | Both teachers share responsibility for establishing and communicating expectations for all students |
| | Each teacher disciplines a specified group of students | The majority of discipline is handled by one teacher | Both teachers ensure all students adhere to classroom expectations |
| | One teacher is solely responsible for implementing Behavior Intervention Plans | One teacher has primary responsibility to implement Behavior Intervention Plans | Both teachers share responsibility to implement Behavior Intervention Plans |
| | Students respond to one teacher only in regard to instruction and discipline | Students respond primarily to one teacher in regard to instruction and discipline | Students respond to both teachers equally in regard to instruction and discipline |
| Discipline | Teachers independently decide upon behavior practices to use within the classroom | Teachers use some of the behavior practices within an existing school- wide approach | Teachers use behavior practices consistent with any existing school-wide approach(es) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

5 This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

| | <u>Initiating</u> | <u>Developing</u> | <u>Sustaining</u> |
|--------------------|--|---|--|
| | Minimal or no time for job embedded co-planning and co- teaching | The schedule provides limited time for job embedded co-planning and co-teaching | The schedule provides time for job-embedded co-planning and co-teaching |
| | Few resources are provided including: scheduling, space, appropriate student grouping, instructional materials, and technology Teachers work in isolation to address barriers or problems | Limited resources are provided including: scheduling, space, appropriate student grouping, instructional materials and technology The environment provides some support for collaboration and problem-solving by staff | Needed resources are provided including: scheduling, space, appropriate student grouping, instructional materials and technology The environment supports collaboration and problemsolving by staff |
| School Environment | The school community does not embrace collaboration and co- teaching as an integral part of instruction and assessment | Some members of the school community embrace collaboration and co-teaching as an integral part of instruction and assessment | The school culture embraces collaboration and co-teaching as an integral part of instruction and assessment |
| School Er | Minimal or no professional development, including job- embedded professional development specific to co- teaching is provided | Limited professional development specific to co-teaching including job-embedded professional development is provided | High quality professional development specific to coteaching is provided based upon teacher and student needs including job-embedded professional development |
| | Feedback provided to co- teachers reflects limited or no understanding of the co-teaching framework and focuses more on one teacher than another | Some feedback is provided to support enhancement of coteaching and collaborative practices which reflects partial understanding of the co-teaching framework, but may focus more on one teacher than another | Feedback and coaching is provided to support enhancement of co-teaching and collaborative practices as reflected in the co-teaching framework |

6 This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].

Directions - Collaborative Reflection

Complete the following section <u>collaboratively</u> with your co-teaching partner. Begin by sharing your responses to Part I of the co-teaching reflection tool with your co-teacher. Compare your ratings (initiating, developing, or sustaining) and discuss your individual and team strengths and areas for improvement. **Reflection Tool Part 3** may be helpful in recording your action plan that will guide your next steps in enhancing your co-teaching skills.

| Co-Teaching Categories | Strengths | Areas for Improvement |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|
| Planning for Instruction and Assessment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Instructional Environment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Physical Environment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Discipline | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| School Environment | | |
| Teacher 1 rating: Choose an item. | | |
| Teacher 2 rating: Choose an item. | | |

7 This document was developed and produced by the Maryland State Department of Education, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (June 2011) with funds from the [U.S. Department of Education, Grant # H323A07000-09].