RULE IMPACT STATEMENT:

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Title 210

OAC 210:15-8-3

a. What is the purpose of the proposed rule change?

The purpose of this change is to alleviate the reporting burden for school districts and eliminate a section of the rule relating to the six-year plan that was not authorized by statute.

b. What classes of persons will be affected by the proposed rule change and what classes of persons will bear the costs of the proposed rule change?

School district personnel responsible for completing the Comprehensive Local Education Plan will be affected. School district costs should be reduced by eliminating the personnel time required to complete the time analysis report required by the current rule.

c. What classes of persons will benefit from the proposed rule change?

School district personnel will benefit from a reduced reporting burden.

d. What is the probable economic impact of the proposed rule upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions?

This change is unlikely to result in any adverse economic impact; instead, it should result in a positive impact for school districts.

e. What is the probable cost to the agency to implement and enforce the proposed rule change?

The cost to the agency would be minimal. The only anticipated costs would be staff time to communicate the rule change to school districts.

f. What is the economic impact on any political subdivision to implement the proposed rule change?

This change is unlikely to result in any economic impact to any political subdivision.

g. Will implementing the rule change have an adverse effect on small business as provided by the Oklahoma Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Act?

This change is unlikely to result in an adverse effect on small business unless a school district had previously contracted with an external consultant to complete their reporting requirement. Very few districts, if any, have contracted with an external consultant to complete this requirement.

h. Are there any other methods which are less costly, nonregulatory, or less intrusive to achieve the purpose of the proposed rule change?

Revoking the rule is the only method to achieve the purpose. Revoking this rule will result in lower costs and less regulation of school districts.

i. Will the rule change impact the public health, safety, and environment, and is the change designed to reduce significant risks to the public health, safety, and environment? If so, explain nature of risk and to what extent the proposed rule change will reduce the risk.

No, this rule change does not impact the public health, safety, and environment.

j. What detrimental effect will there be on the public health, safety, and environment if the rule change is not implemented?

If this rule change is not implemented, there will be no impact on the public health, safety, and environment.